1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	WILLIE BRANNER, aka JAMES WILLIS	Case No. C 90-3219 DLJ
12	JOHNSON,	ORDER GRANTING RESPONDENT'S
13	Petitioner,	EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO FILE A
14	v.	REPLY TO THE OPPOSITION TO RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO
15	MICHAEL MARTEL, Acting Warden,	RECONSIDER FINDINGS ON PROCEDURAL DEFAULT
16 17	Respondent.	
18		
19	Having considered respondent's Ex Parte	Application for Enlargement of Time, and
20	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING,	
21	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:	
22	1. Respondent's <i>Ex Parte</i> Application for Enlargement of Time to File a Reply to the	
23	Opposition to Respondent's Motion to Reconsider Findings on Procedural Default is GRANTED.	
24	2. Respondent shall file and serve his reply on or before January 6, 2012.	
25	3. The Court shall set a hearing date if necessary.	
26		
27	Dated:December 9, 2011	HONORABLE D. LOWELL JENSEN
28		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	[Proposed] Order	1 Branner aka Johnson v. Martel, No. C 90-3219 DLJ
	[1 Toposou] Otdor	Diamer and Johnson V. Mariel, 190. C 30-3217 DLJ

Case 3:90-cv-03219-JD Document 343 Filed 12/09/11 Page 1 of 1